A Famous Math Blunder

Jeff Rushall

Northern Arizona University Department of Mathematics and Statistics

NAU High School Math Day October 25th, 2023



College of the Environment, Forestry, and Natural Sciences

I've watched a lot of TikToks recently about classic math mistakes. Some of them crack me up.

And I think/hope/pray that we just watched and listened to a couple of my favorite TikTok vids - this guy is super pissed about the two well known algebra errors that they ranted about...

But everyone makes mistakes in mathematics, especially professional mathematicians. And you, students of mathematics, don't hear about these things very often. So I though it would be appropriate to come clean about all this.

But everyone makes mistakes in mathematics, especially professional mathematicians. And you, students of mathematics, don't hear about these things very often. So I though it would be appropriate to come clean about all this.

I want to show you the kind of mistakes mathematical pros make. And I'm not talking about the little "I dropped a minus sign...sorry!" errors. I'm talking about really big, really public, and really embarrassing errors made by REALLY smart people.

But everyone makes mistakes in mathematics, especially professional mathematicians. And you, students of mathematics, don't hear about these things very often. So I though it would be appropriate to come clean about all this.

I want to show you the kind of mistakes mathematical pros make. And I'm not talking about the little "I dropped a minus sign...sorry!" errors. I'm talking about really big, really public, and really embarrassing errors made by REALLY smart people.

Here is one such story. It involves a famous problem in mathematical history about coloring maps, and is based on trying to answer this question...

What is the *smallest* number of colors one needs to color a map of the USA if regions that share a boundary edge must be given different colors? Here is one such colored map:



What is the *smallest* number of colors one needs to color a map of the USA if regions that share a boundary edge must be given different colors? Here is one such colored map:



We see at least 9 different colors. Is 9 the smallest number of colors needed?

What is the *smallest* number of colors one needs to color a map of the USA if regions that share a boundary edge must be given different colors? Here is one such colored map:



We see at least 9 different colors. Is 9 the *smallest* number of colors needed? No. Because I know a way more efficient way to color *'Murca*.

Here is a map of the United States colored with fewer colors:



Here is a map of the United States colored with fewer colors:



This time we see 4 different colors, which really *is* the minimal number of colors one needs to color a map of the United States. Look at the west coast for why we need more than 3 colors.

The real question in need of an answer is this:

What is the smallest number of colors one needs to color *any* planar map?

The real question in need of an answer is this:

What is the smallest number of colors one needs to color *any* planar map?

This is an old question, first posed by British mathematician Francis Guthrie in 1852. Guthrie believed that the correct answer is 4 colors, a claim that became known as the 4-Color Map Conjecture, and which remained unverified...until...





And so the 4-Color Map Theorem, as it became known, was verified. Except...



And so the 4-Color Map Theorem, as it became known, was verified. Except... drumroll...



And so the 4-Color Map Theorem, as it became known, was verified. Except... drumroll...

Kempe's proof was flawed!

Kempe's error was eventually spotted by fellow British mathematician Percy Heawood (nice mustache, eh?). Heawood showed that Kempe's error was not correctable.



Kempe's error was eventually spotted by fellow British mathematician Percy Heawood (nice mustache, eh?). Heawood showed that Kempe's error was not correctable.



But Heawood did prove that one needs at most 5 colors to color *any* map, a result that became known as the 5-Color Map Theorem. But...

Kempe's error was eventually spotted by fellow British mathematician Percy Heawood (nice mustache, eh?). Heawood showed that Kempe's error was not correctable.



But Heawood did prove that one needs at most 5 colors to color *any* map, a result that became known as the 5-Color Map Theorem. But... drumroll...

In 1880, Scottish mathematician Peter Tait (nice beard, eh?) proved that one CAN color any planar map with just 4 colors!



In 1880, Scottish mathematician Peter Tait (nice beard, eh?) proved that one CAN color any planar map with just 4 colors!



So the 4-Color Map Theorem was proven, and Scotspersons around the world rejoiced. But...

In 1880, Scottish mathematician Peter Tait (nice beard, eh?) proved that one CAN color any planar map with just 4 colors!



So the 4-Color Map Theorem was proven, and Scotspersons around the world rejoiced. But... drumroll...

A few years later, a fatal flaw in Tait's argument was found by Danish mathematician Julius Peterson (neat beard, eh?).



A few years later, a fatal flaw in Tait's argument was found by Danish mathematician Julius Peterson (neat beard, eh?).



So, yet again, the 4-Color Map Theorem was WRONG, and the 5-Color Map Theorem reigned supreme. Except...

A few years later, a fatal flaw in Tait's argument was found by Danish mathematician Julius Peterson (neat beard, eh?).



So, yet again, the 4-Color Map Theorem was WRONG, and the 5-Color Map Theorem reigned supreme. Except... drumroll...

In about 1885, German mathematician Richard Baltzer (crazy beard, eh?) *finally* published a proof of the 4-Color Map Theorem.



In about 1885, German mathematician Richard Baltzer (crazy beard, eh?) *finally* published a proof of the 4-Color Map Theorem.



Baltzer's amazing proof held up for about 75 years. However...

In about 1885, German mathematician Richard Baltzer (crazy beard, eh?) *finally* published a proof of the 4-Color Map Theorem.



Baltzer's amazing proof held up for about 75 years. However... drumroll...





So we were back to the 5-Color Map Theorem.



So we were back to the 5-Color Map Theorem.

Geez...Are mathematicians all dumb?



So we were back to the 5-Color Map Theorem.

Geez...Are mathematicians all dumb? No.



So we were back to the 5-Color Map Theorem.

Geez...Are mathematicians all dumb? No. They just make mistakes.

1. their proof required computers to check 1,834 cases, and...

1. their proof required computers to check 1,834 cases, and... drumroll...

- 1. their proof required computers to check 1,834 cases, and... drumroll...
- 2. their proof had a mistake in it.

- 1. their proof required computers to check 1,834 cases, and... drumroll...
- 2. their proof had a mistake in it.

The good news: their mistake is fixed.

- 1. their proof required computers to check 1,834 cases, and... drumroll...
- 2. their proof had a mistake in it.

The good news: their mistake is fixed. The bad news: it took them 13 years (until 1989) to fix their mistake.

- 1. their proof required computers to check 1,834 cases, and... drumroll...
- 2. their proof had a mistake in it.

The good news: their mistake is fixed.

The bad news: it took them 13 years (until 1989) to fix their mistake. The good news: there OFFICIALLY are no other mistakes in their proof.

- 1. their proof required computers to check 1,834 cases, and... drumroll...
- 2. their proof had a mistake in it.

The good news: their mistake is fixed.

The bad news: it took them 13 years (until 1989) to fix their mistake.

The good news: there OFFICIALLY are no other mistakes in their proof.

The bad news: some mathematicians don't believe the proof is valid because it required computers to check for details. Sigh...

People who make maps and map books!

People who make maps and map books! As math historian Kenneth May put it,

People who make maps and map books! As math historian Kenneth May put it,

"Books on cartography and the history of mapmaking do not mention the four-color property."

So who cares about the 4-Color Map Theorem, II

So map nerds don't care about the 4-Color Map Theorem. But you know who does?

So map nerds don't care about the 4-Color Map Theorem. But you know who does?

Grandmas!

So map nerds don't care about the 4-Color Map Theorem. But you know who does?

Grandmas!

Or, rather, anyone who knits or crochets scarves. But not just any scarves.

So map nerds don't care about the 4-Color Map Theorem. But you know who does?

Grandmas!

Or, rather, anyone who knits or crochets scarves. But not just any scarves.

Möbius scarves!



A Möbius strip is a one-sided surface. This one has ants on it, but lots of knitters and crocheters - Grandmas or otherwise - make colorful Möbius strip scarves!

To make a colorful Möbius strip scarf, one must know how many colors are needed so that adjacent sections have different colors. You think I'm joking, but many people make Möbius strip scarves!



Fiona Field is one such Möbius artist. Here she is sporting both a Möbius scarf and a Möbius hat thingee. I think I see too many colors...

What is the smallest number of colors one needs to color *any* map (or design) on a Möbius strip? It turns out that this is a rather tricky but solved problem. The answer is...

What is the smallest number of colors one needs to color *any* map (or design) on a Möbius strip? It turns out that this is a rather tricky but solved problem. The answer is...6.



What is the smallest number of colors one needs to color *any* map (or design) on a torus? [a bagel] It turns out that this is also a solved problem. The answer is...

What is the smallest number of colors one needs to color *any* map (or design) on a torus? [a bagel] It turns out that this is also a solved problem. The answer is...7.



The upper design only needed 5 colors, but the bottom design needed 7 colors, which is the minimal number needed for any design.

What is the smallest number of colors one needs to color any map (or design) on a torus with 2 holes? Or 3 holes? Or *n* holes? This problem was solved fairly recently. The answer is...

What is the smallest number of colors one needs to color any map (or design) on a torus with 2 holes? Or 3 holes? Or *n* holes? This problem was solved fairly recently. The answer is...

$$\left\lfloor \frac{7+\sqrt{1+49n}}{2} \right\rfloor$$

What is the smallest number of colors one needs to color any map (or design) on a torus with 2 holes? Or 3 holes? Or *n* holes? This problem was solved fairly recently. The answer is...

$$\lfloor \frac{7+\sqrt{1+49n}}{2} \rfloor$$

If you want to know what the heck this formula is talking about, or how it was proven, or any other of a zillion neat things about math...

What is the smallest number of colors one needs to color any map (or design) on a torus with 2 holes? Or 3 holes? Or *n* holes? This problem was solved fairly recently. The answer is...

$$\lfloor \frac{7+\sqrt{1+49n}}{2} \rfloor$$

If you want to know what the heck this formula is talking about, or how it was proven, or any other of a zillion neat things about math... drumroll...

What is the smallest number of colors one needs to color any map (or design) on a torus with 2 holes? Or 3 holes? Or n holes? This problem was solved fairly recently. The answer is...

$$\lfloor \frac{7+\sqrt{1+49n}}{2} \rfloor$$

If you want to know what the heck this formula is talking about, or how it was proven, or any other of a zillion neat things about math... drumroll... come to NAU and study mathematics!

What is the smallest number of colors one needs to color any map (or design) on a torus with 2 holes? Or 3 holes? Or *n* holes? This problem was solved fairly recently. The answer is...

$$\lfloor \frac{7+\sqrt{1+49n}}{2} \rfloor$$

If you want to know what the heck this formula is talking about, or how it was proven, or any other of a zillion neat things about math... drumroll... come to NAU and study mathematics!

Questions?



College of the Environment, Forestry, and Natural Sciences