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Data Analysis 
(N=52)

Art Education (BSED) 
English-Secondary Ed (MA) 
Music-Secondary Ed (BMED) 
Secondary Education- History & Social Studies (BSED) 
Secondary Education- English (BSED) 
Secondary Education-Mathematics (BSED) 
Secondary Education-Science (BSED) 
Secondary Education-Spanish (BSED)

Cooperating Teachers were asked if they had additional comments regarding strengths their student teacher demonstrated. Respondents identified 23 specific strengths. The strengths listed below represent those mentioned most frequently.

Strengths
- Building rapport with students
- Professionalism

Cooperating Teachers were asked if they had additional comments regarding observed areas for improvement for their student teacher. Respondents identified 14 specific areas for improvement. The areas for improvement listed below represent those mentioned most frequently.

Areas for Improvement
- Incorporating higher level questioning
- Writing Objectives

Cooperating Teachers were asked if they had any additional comments regarding areas for improvement for their student teacher. Respondents identified 14 specific areas for improvement. The areas for improvement listed below represent those mentioned most frequently.

Recommendations
- More time in the classroom
- Reducing workload
  - The CWS was mentioned in 66% of the “reducing workload” comments

Quantitative Data
The majority of respondents throughout all programs marked 3(Agree/Appropriate/Satisfied) or 4(Strongly agree/Very Appropriate/Very Satisfied) on all sections of the survey. The following data highlights the sections where more than 10% of respondents marked 3(Disagree/Inappropriate/Dissatisfied), 4(Strongly Disagree/Very Inappropriate/Very Dissatisfied), or 5(Not observed/Applicable).
Section 2
In section 2, respondents were asked to rate their observations of their student teacher’s knowledge and skills for various items aligned to professional teaching standards. The standards identified were InTASC Standards 4, 6, 7 and 8 and responses were requested on a Likert scale from, 1(Strongly Disagree) to 4(Strongly agree) with an additional option, 5(Not Observed/Applicable). Approximately 2-4% of respondents marked Not Observed/Applicable or Disagree for the following two items:

- Called on student volunteers and non-volunteers who represented the diversity of the class (i.e., races, ethnicities, genders, abilities
- Used questioning techniques that promoted a mix of authentic question types, requiring active responses from students

Section 3
In section 3 respondents were asked to rate their observations of their student teacher’s knowledge and skills for various items aligned to some different professional teaching standards. Each of the questions could be answered on a scale from 1(Very Inappropriate) to 4(Very Appropriate). Approximately 2% of respondents marked Inappropriate for the following two items:

- Sufficient depth to support independent teaching after completion of student teaching
- Sufficient breadth to support independent teaching after completion of student teaching

Section 4
In section 4 respondents were asked to rate their level of satisfaction with the preparation and training materials provided to them. The scale ranged from 1(Very Dissatisfied) to 4(Very Satisfied) with another option for 5(Not Received/Completed). Approximately 2-6% of respondents marked Not Received/Completed or Dissatisfied for the following two items:

- Email notice regarding the options for honorariums provided to Cooperating Teachers and the steps to select an honorarium option
- Online, self-paced trainings on the PEP website on topics such as Quality-Mentoring, Communicating with Teacher Candidates, Assessment, Cooperating Teacher Roles & Responsibilities, and Overview of the Student Teaching Evaluation Instrument