Name

Mid-Term Mathematics and Science Teaching Rubric (UTOP)

Description

The UTeach Observation Protocol (UTOP) for Mathematics and Science is an observational instrument that can be used to assess the overall quality of classroom instruction in math and science from kindergarten to the undergraduate level. The UTOP was designed to allow individuals to evaluate teaching effectiveness while valuing different modes of instruction.

Rubric Detail

Levels of Achievement

Criteria

1.1 Classroom
Engagement: The
classroom
environment facilitated
by the teacher
encouraged students
to generate ideas,
questions,
conjectures, and/or
propositions that
reflected engagement
or exploration with
important
mathematics and
science concepts.

1 Points

This item should be rated a 1 if there were no examples of students attempting to or being encouraged by the teacher to generate their own ideas, questions, conjectures, or propositions, and no significant intellectual engagement was observed.

2 Points

2

This item should be rated a 2 if there were only occasional examples of students generating nascent ideas and questions, these contributions were of low quality, and the teacher did not respond in a manner to draw out the students' thinking.

3 Points

3

This item should be rated a 3 if there were several examples of students generating nascent ideas, conjectures, and questions of medium quality during the lesson, and the teacher was making moves to encourage these contributions. However, the teacher missed several opportunities to elicit and elaborate on students' thinking in an open discussion.

4 Points

4

This item should be rated a 4 if students generated ideas and questions of medium to high quality during the lesson and the teacher regularly made attempts to elicit further student thinking and encouraged other students to contribute. The students also offered some of their own conjectures or propositions, and these offerings demonstrated clear engagement with the content. Perhaps there was a missed opportunity by the teacher that could have facilitated deeper student learning

5 Points

5

This item should be rated a 5 if students were highly engaged in the content and consistently offered high-quality ideas, questions, propositions, and conjectures. The teacher facilitated these contributions throughout the majority of the class period, allowing for deep and meaningful student learning opportunities.

1.2 Classroom Interactions: Interactions reflected collegial working relationships among students (e.g., students worked together productively and talked with each other about the lesson). *It's possible that this indicator was not applicable to the observed lesson. You may rate NA in this case.

1 Points

This item should be rated a 1 if there was group work during the lesson, but the group work was highly unproductive. This could include behavior where the majority of the groups were socializing, off-task, arguing, or ignoring each other, as well as regular instances of students copying off their group members and/or certain group members doing all of the work.

2 Points

This indicator should be rated a 2 if there was group work during the lesson, but some groups were unproductive. engaging in the off-task behaviors listed under the 1 rating. There could be occasional examples of productive group work for some groups but this was not consistent throughout the time allotted

3 Points

This indicator should be rated a 3 if there was group work during the lesson, and the groups were adequately productive throughout the group work time. There may be some examples of off-task conversation and group members not contributing.

4 Points

This indicator should be rated a 4 if there was group work during the lesson and most of the groups worked together productively throughout this portion of the lesson. The groups were observed to discuss ideas and ask each other questions before seeking out the teacher, and the members themselves encouraged participation of all group members. There may still be an example of an unproductive group in the classroom, but the majority of students are working well together.

5 Points

This indicator should be rated a 5 if there was group work during the lesson, and all groups worked together productively and were meaningfully engaged in the content and concepts of the lesson. All group members clearly understood and accepted their roles and were able to actively participate; groups discussed and explored ideas together, coming to a common understanding of the content and concepts

1.3 Classroom
On-Task: The majority
of students were on
task throughout the
class.

1 Points

This item should be rated a 1 if less than half (0–49%) of students were on task, on average, throughout the class period. Please weight appropriately if many students were on task for one portion of the lesson while few were on task for another

2 Points

This item should be rated a 2 if at least half but less than three-quarters (50–74%) of students were on task, on average, throughout the class period. There were regular instances of off-task behavior that lasted for several minutes in this

3 Points

This item should be rated a 3 if around three-quarters (75%) of students were on task, on average, throughout the class period. There were some instances off-task behavior that lasted for no more than a few minutes in this classroom.

4 Points

This item should be rated a 4 if more than three-quarters (75–90%) of the students were on task, on average, throughout the class period. There were only occasional instances of off-task behavior in the classroom, and/or there were only a

5 Points

This item should be rated a 5 if most or all (90–100%) of the students were on task and engaged throughout the lesson. There were rare or no instances of off-task behavior in this classroom.

	Levels of Achievement						
Criteria	1	2	3	4	5		
	portion, to obtain an overall estimate of the percentage. For this rating, there must be consistent off-task behavior that affects the majority of the class.	classroom.		couple students who were not fully participating in the lesson on occasion.			
1.4 Classroom	1 Points	2 Points	3 Points	4 Points	5 Points		
Management: The teacher's classroom management strategies enhanced the classroom environment.	This item should be rated a 1 if the classroom was very poorly managed, the students did not listen to the teacher, and/or the teacher made little or no attempt to manage their behavior. The lack of classroom management significantly disrupted all aspects of the class session, making it difficult for students to learn.	This item should be rated a 2 if the classroom was not well managed but was still functional —students were not overtly disruptive or creating distractions for others even though the teacher was not clearly "in charge." The lack of clear direction or classroom management occasionally disrupted the lesson, sometimes making it difficult for students to learn.	This item should be rated a 3 if the classroom was managed adequately; there were some disruptions that the teacher may or may not have dealt with appropriately, but overall learning by the majority of students was not negatively affected by management issues. The teacher may have made some management moves to enhance the classroom environment so that all students were able to engage and learn, but the impact was only minimally beneficial.	This item should be rated a 4 if the classroom was well managed—the teacher's management actions were clear, enhancing the classroom environment, and positively affecting students' opportunity to learn. There may have been minor or very occasional disruptive behavior that the teacher did not handle appropriately, but it did not negatively affect the whole class.	This item should be rated a 5 if the classroom was managed excellently and ran smoothly—the teacher's management actions and routines significantly enhanced students' learning of the content. Classroom expectations, instructions, and routines were clear tall students at all times.		
1.5 Classroom	1 Points	2 Points	3 Points	4 Points	5 Points		
Organization: The classroom is organized appropriately such that students can work in groups easily and get to lab materials as needed, and the teacher can move to each student group.	This item should be rated with a 1 if there were one or more major classroom setup or organizational issues that significantly disrupted the ability of students to focus on and learn the content of the	This item should be rated with a 2 if there were several minor classroom setup or organization issues that caused a few small disruptions to the lesson, and some students' ability to fully participate was	This item should be rated a 3 if there were no classroom setup or organizational issues that disrupted or distracted the majority (50–75%) of students from participating in the activities of the	This item should be rated a 4 if the classroom was well-organized and the teacher's actions relating to the setup of the classroom enhanced most (75–90%) students' interaction with materials or each	This item should be rated a 5 if the organization of the classroom was excellent and the setup for the lesson clearly and positively enhanced each student's learning ar created opportunity for engagement and		

lesson. This may be something that was not under the teacher's control but still should be recorded with this instrument.

lesson-the classroom was appropriately arranged for the lesson.

other as required for the activity. There may be a small missed opportunity where a few students were affected in their ability to participate for a short period of time.

full participation. There was specific evidence that the teacher made moves to ensure this, and there were no instances of students' being affected negatively by the setup of the classroom.

1.6 Classroom Equity: The classroom environment established by the teacher reflected attention to issues of access, equity, and diversity for students (e.g., cooperative learning, languageappropriate strategies and materials, attentiveness to student needs).

1 Points

This item should be rated a 1 if the rater noted a major issue relating to equity, access, or diversity that significantly negatively impacted the classroom environment and all students' opportunities to learn.

2 Points

This item should be rated a 2 if the rater noted one or two minor issues relating to equity, access, and diversity that may have had a small negative impact on students' opportunities to learn. The teacher may have attempted some positive modifications to take into account issues of equity, access, and diversity, but ultimately these modifications were

3 Points

This item should be rated a 2 if the rater noted one or two minor issues relating to equity, access, and diversity that may have had a small negative impact on students' opportunities to learn. The teacher may have attempted some positive modifications to take into account issues of equity, access, and diversity, but ultimately these modifications were

4 Points

This item should be rated a 4 if there was no evidence of actions the teacher took relating to equity, access, and diversity that negatively impacted the classroom environment, and, overall, the teacher's actions relating to this indicator had a positive impact on the classroom environment. There may have been a small missed opportunity to

5 Points

This item should be rated as a 5 if there is evidence that the teacher explicitly took into account issues of equity, access, and diversity in the classroom throughout the lesson so that all students were equitably engaged, had easy access to lesson content and materials, and were treated with respect by all throughout the class session. In other words, the classroom

Criteria	1	2	3	4	5
		not successful.	not successful.	provide equitable access to the content or recognize and adapt for a students' individual needs.	environment clearly reflected thorough attention to equity, access, and diversity of all students.
2.1 Lesson Sequence: The lesson was well organized and structured (e.g., the objectives of the lesson were clear to students, and the sequence of the lesson was structured to build understanding and maintain a sense of purpose).	This item should be rated a 1 if the lesson was structured such that there was little or no productive, learning-focused interaction between teacher and students, and/or the content objectives of the lesson were unclear or inappropriate to the developmental level of the students, and/or the sequence of the lesson was disorganized, and/or there was a major problem with the organization or framing of the lesson that significantly and negatively impacted student learning during the majority (75–100%) of the class period.	2 Points This item should be rated a 2 if the lesson was only occasionally structured to engage students in learning-focused activities and/or the purpose/objectives were not communicated clearly, and/or there were some problems with the organization of the lesson that negatively impacted student learning during approximately 50% of the time allotted for the lesson.	3 Points This item should be rated a 3 if the lesson's structure and organization were adequate for the majority of time allotted (50–75%). The lesson may not have been structured perfectly, and there may have been a part of the lesson that was disorganized or confusing to the students, but the lesson sequence generally kept students engaged and moving from one portion to the next in a reasonable manner, and students generally seemed to understand the purpose of the lesson and what they were to do to accomplish this purpose.	A Points This item should be rated a 4 if the lesson was well structured and well organized. A well-structured lesson would be a developmentally appropriate, well-designed sequence of learning activities that kept students engaged in the content and had a clear sense of purpose throughout the vast majority of the class time (75–90%). However, there may have been a minor missed opportunity or minor organizational issue present during the lesson that wasted student time for learning.	This item should be rated a 5 if the structure and organization of the lesson was excellent The lesson was structured to take into account or build prior to have a sequence of the topic and was well paced with a thoughtfully chosen sequence of learning activities, and the teacher had anticipated the pedagogical approaches that would be most effective in engaging the students throughout the entire class period.
2.2 Lesson Importance: The structure of the lesson allowed students to engage with and/or explore important concepts in mathematics or science (instead of focusing on techniques that may only be useful on exams).	1 Points This item should be rated a 1 if the structure of the lesson did not allow students to either engage with or explore concepts in mathematics or science.	2 Points This item should be rated a 2 if the structure of the lesson occasionally or sporadically (only 20–30% of the time) allowed for student engagement in mathematics or science concepts.	3 Points This item should be rated a 3 if the structure of the lesson allowed students to engage with and/or explore the mathematics or science content, but these opportunities were only in place during the lesson approximately 50% of the time.	4 Points This item should be rated a 4 if the structure of the lesson allowed students to engage with and/or explore mathematics or science concepts for most of the class period (80–90% of the time). There may have been a minor missed opportunity or small portion of the lesson that was not designed to be as engaging.	5 Points This item should be rated a 5 if during most (greater than 90%) of the class period, the structure of the lesson allower students to engage with and explore important mathematics or science concepts. This was a continuous and explicit focus of the teacher's plan, and the structure and sequence were clearly designed to ensure that students remained engaged throughout the entire class period.
2.3 Lesson Assessments: The structure of the lesson ncluded opportunities or the instructor to gauge student understanding.	1 Points This item should be rated a 1 if there was little or no time structured into the lesson to assess student understanding—the teacher led the entire	2 Points This item should be rated a 2 if there were only occasional or sporadic places in the lesson where there was an opportunity for the teacher to assess or	3 Points This item should be rated a 3 if there were some opportunities clearly designed for in the lesson structure to allow the teacher to gauge student	4 Points This item should be rated a 4 if there were regular or frequent opportunities for the teacher to gauge student understanding,	5 Points This item should be rated a 5 if the lessor was structured as clearly student-centered—students were consistently an constantly trying out ideas and expressing

little student input

thinking about key mathematics or

science concepts.

regarding their

students were

thinking, talking

about, or doing— perhaps the teacher

planned time to elicit

student contributions

a couple of quality

there was evidence

purposefully created

students to express

that the teacher

appropriate

structures or

methods for

teacher had

structured the

lesson. The teacher

had planned for a

of methods and

opportunities for

number and variety

key mathematics and

science concepts

planned the lesson

so that students

throughout the majority of the lesson. The teacher

Criteria	1	2	3	4	5
		or did some assessment of a few students thinking based on their written work occasionally during the class period.	their thinking. However, there may have been a few minor missed opportunities to check in with some students and/or groups.	students to explore, propose, share, and refine their thinking.	spent the entire clas period exploring, proposing ideas, sharing, and refining their thinking.
2.4 Lesson Investigation: The lesson included an investigative or problem-based approach to important concepts in mathematics or science.	1 Points This item should be rated a 1 if there were no elements of investigation or problem-based learning in the lesson.	2 Points This item should be rated a 2 if there was only a minor example of investigative or problem-based learning in the lesson, and it was not a focus of the lesson.	3 Points This item should be rated a 3 if elements of investigative and/or problem-based learning were designed to occur with moderate frequency, and/or if the problem-based or investigative activities were of moderate quality.	4 Points This item should be rated a 4 if the majority of the lesson design employed an investigative or problem-based approach and the activities planned were of medium to good quality. However, there may be a small missed opportunity on the part of the teacher to incorporate more aspects of investigation or problem-based learning into the lesson.	5 Points This item should be rated a 5 if the lessor was clearly designe with an investigation and problem-based approach, and the learning activities chosen were of high quality.
2.5 Lesson Resources: The teacher obtained and employed resources appropriate for the lesson.	1 Points This item should be rated a 1 if one or more of the resources chosen for the lesson was highly inappropriate or negatively impacted student opportunity to learn.	2 Points This item should be rated a 2 if one or more of the resources chosen for the lesson occasionally negatively impacted student opportunity to learn, and/or if there were clearly more appropriate and effective resources that could have been chosen.	3 Points This item should be rated a 3 if the resources were adequate for the purposes of instruction. None of the resources disrupted student learning, but none of the resources noticeably enhanced learning through their use and implementation.	A Points This item should be rated a 4 if there was evidence that the specific resources selected by the teacher were appropriate and enhanced student learning. There may have been a small missed opportunity or minor problem with resource use or there may have been a small instance of limited access to the resources appropriate for each stage of the lesson.	5 Points This item should be rated a 5 if there was significant evidence that the teacher had carefully selected resources to enhand student learning and that these resource were effective, accessible, and appropriate for this purpose.
2.6 Lesson Reflection: The teacher was critical and reflective about his/her practice after the lesson, recognizing the strengths and weaknesses of his/her instruction.* This indicator may be rated NA if you do not have access to a teacher interview or teacher commentary.	1 Points This item should be rated a 1 if the teacher did not discuss or recognize any strengths or weaknesses of instruction.	2 Points This item should be rated a 2 if the teacher identified strengths and weaknesses that were unimportant, and/or that were only related to tangential or insignificant procedural elements of the lesson. These strengths and weaknesses were unrelated to what is assessed on the UTOP.	3 Points This item should be rated a 3 if the teacher discussed and recognized one or two important strengths of instruction captured by the UTOP, but perhaps did not acknowledge or recognize any of the major weaknesses.	4 Points This item should be rated a 4 if the teacher recognized a fair portion (but not all) of the strengths and weaknesses the rater identified.	5 Points This item should be rated a 5 if the teacher recognized and weaknesses of the lesson that the rater recognized while evaluating the lesson with the UTOP. This item should also be rate a 5 if the teacher recognized the mosimportant strengths and weaknesses of the lesson.
3.1 Implementation Questioning: The teacher used questioning strategies to encourage participation, check on skill development, and facilitate intellectual engagement and	1 Points This item should be rated a 1 if the teacher used little or no appropriate questioning strategies that engaged students	2 Points This item should be rated a 2 if the teacher occasionally or sporadically questioned a few students to refocus attention, encourage	3 Points This item should be rated a 3 if the teacher regularly used questioning techniques to encourage and maintain participation	4 Points This item should be rated a 4 if the teacher frequently used questioning techniques to encourage and maintain participation	5 Points This item should be rated a 5 if the teacher consistently and continually used multi-level questioning strategies to

Levels of Achievement

Criteria

productive interaction

important science and

mathematics content

and concepts.

with students about

1

with important science or mathematics content or concepts at any level during the lesson

participation, or check on skill development, but there were no instances of questions that challenged students to think critically about important science content or concepts.

and to check on skill development and progress with the lesson activity during some portions of the lesson, especially the introduction and wrap up. The teacher asked appropriate procedural and factual questions about important science or mathematics content or concepts but rarely challenged student thinking with question probes for deeper understandings or misconceptions.

and develop skills throughout the class period. Some auestions were asked that probed student thinking about important science content or concepts uncovering alternative or misconceptions that were then appropriately used by the teacher to get students to reflect and expand further on this content or concepts

encourage and maintain participation and to check on skill development and students' progress with the lesson activity throughout the class period. In addition, the majority of questions asked probed students' thinking about important science content or concepts deeply, challenging preconceptions and assumptions and pushing students to develop new knowledge or novel applications.

5

3.2 Implementation Involvement: The teacher involved all students in the lesson (calling on non-volunteers, facilitating student-student interaction, checking in with hesitant learners, etc.).

1 Points

This item should be rated a 1 if the teacher did not attempt to involve all students in the lesson. This means the teacher only called on volunteers during whole-class portions of the lesson, and only checked in with groups who specifically requested help during group-work portions of the lesson

2 Points

This item should be rated a 2 if the teacher occasionally or sporadically made an attempt to involve all students in the lesson. Perhaps the teacher occasionally called on non-volunteers, or only checked in with some groups not requesting help when she had responded to all other student requests for assistance.

3 Points

This item should be rated a 3 if the teacher made moves to involve all students in the lesson but ultimately did not do enough such that all students were able to participate. This item should also be rated a 3 if the teacher is not seen making any specific moves to involve all students in the lesson, but all students seem to be involved anyway. The teacher may have made moves previously (throughout the school year) to set up and ensure a classroom culture where all students actively participate

4 Points

This item should be rated a 4 if the teacher made clear attempts to involve a wide variety of students in the lesson and was actively working to ensure the participation of all students. Occasionally, the teacher may have missed an opportunity to maintain participation with struggling students who stopped working, or the teacher may not have appropriately challenged uninvolved students who finished early during some portion of the lesson.

5 Points

This item should be rated a 5 if. throughout the lesson, the teacher was actively and consistently working to involve, challenge, and maintain intellectual engagement and participation in the lesson activities with every student, including shy students, hesitant learners, bored/disruptive students, struggling students, and students with special

3.3 Implementation
Modification: The
teacher used
formative assessment
effectively to be aware
of the progress of all
students and modified
the lesson
appropriately when
formative assessment
demonstrated that
students did not
understand.

1 Points

This item should be rated a 1 if the teacher did not attempt to formatively assess student understanding during the lesson. This item should also be rated a 1 if it was clear that modifications to the lesson were needed to support student understanding, but the teacher did not make modifications.

2 Points

This item should be rated a 2 if the teacher made only occasional or sporadic attempts to formatively assess student understanding.

3 Points

This item should be rated a 3 if the teacher made regular attempts at formative assessment, but some of these attempts were of poor quality and the teacher missed opportunities to fully elicit student understanding. The teacher should have made some modifications to the lesson based on formative assessment of student understanding, and these modifications may have been somewhat successful. This item should also be rated a 3 if the teacher made no modifications to the lesson, but the

4 Points

This item should be rated a 4 if the teacher consistently used formative assessments to monitor student progress during the lesson, and these assessments were of sufficient quantity to allow the teacher to obtain a clear picture of student understanding. The teacher also adjusted the lesson based on formative assessment as appropriate throughout the class period. There may have been a small missed opportunity to modify the lesson or a modification that was not completely successful

5 Points

This item should be rated a 5 if the teacher consistently used high-quality formative assessment throughout the class period to monitor student understanding and was able to modify his or her teaching or carefully target instruction based on the results of this assessment. The teacher successfully and consistently adjusted the lesson based on formative assessment of student understanding as appropriate throughout the class period.

Criteria	1	2	3	4	5
			teacher's formative assessments suggested that no modifications were needed.		
3.4 Implementation Timing: An appropriate amount of time was devoted to each part of the lesson.	1 Points This item should be rated a 1 if there was a significant amount of wasted time during the class period where students were unengaged or off task, or if there was another major timing issue that disrupted student learning.	2 Points This item should be rated a 2 if there were several instances of wasted time during the class period where students were off task, and/or if an appropriate amount of time was not devoted to key portions of the lesson, leading to confusion or frustration on the part of the students.	3 Points This item should be rated a 3 if there were no major timing issues or wasted time during the lesson, but perhaps an appropriate amount of time was not devoted to more important parts of the lesson, like the time allotted for student lab work was not sufficient, or the wrap up portion of the lesson was missing. A few students disengaged early or were left trying to finish when the bell rang.	A Points This item should be rated a 4 if sufficient amounts of time were devoted to the most important portions of the lesson and appropriate amounts of time for introduction, instructions, and wrap up were evidenced because most of the students were engaged and productively on-task throughout the lesson. There may have been one instance of wasted time, or one portion of the lesson might have been slightly more rushed or allotted more time than it should have been, but the overall flow and timing allowed most students to accomplish the work of the lesson activity.	5 Points This item should be rated a 5 if the appropriate amount of time was devoted to all portions of the lesson, including introduction, instructions, and wra up. All students were productively on-task, as there were no instances of wasted time during this lesson, and all parts of the lesson proceeded at an appropriate pace.
3.5 Implementation Connections: The instructional strategies and activities used in this lesson clearly connected to students' prior knowledge and experience.	This item should be rated a 1 if there was no evidence of the teacher making any attempt at connecting instruction to students' prior knowledge and experiences.	2 Points This item should be rated a 2 if the teacher made a small or passing reference to a previously learned mathematics/science concept and/or students' everyday experiences with science or mathematics.	3 Points This item should be rated a 2 if the teacher made a small or passing reference to a previously learned mathematics/science concept and/or students' everyday experiences with science or mathematics.	A Points This item should be rated a 4 if the teacher made several attempts throughout the class period to draw upon students' prior knowledge of previously learned mathematics/science concepts and students' everyday experiences with science or mathematics, getting input from a majority of students in the class.	This item should be rated a 5 if the lessis is built purposefully and explicitly from the students' prior knowledge of the concepts being covered. This included both their prior school knowledge of relate mathematics or science concepts, and their prior knowledge of using or experiencing the concepts in everydalife. In addition, the teacher made a significant effort to get input from all students related to their experiences and prior knowledge with the mathematics and science content and concepts explored in the lesson. This item should also be rated a 5 if the teacher engaged the class if an extended discussion relating their prior knowledge and experience, making it a focus of

Levels of Achievement

Criteria

3.6 Implementation

strategies included

appropriate, and

procedures and/or

NA if there were no

relevant activities

during the lesson.

safe, environmentally

ethical implementation

indicator may be rated

instructional

of laboratory

activities.*This

classroom

Safety: The teacher's

1 Points This item should be rated a 1 if there was evidence of any significant safety violation or inappropriate behavior that

endangered or resulted in unethical treatment of the students or teacher in the classroom, and the teacher did not handle this situation appropriately. The teacher did not monitor or correct safety violations or

unethical behavior.

2 Points

2

This item should be rated a 2 if there were several minor instances of a safety violation or ethically inappropriate behavior that were not quickly and successfully corrected by the teacher.

3 Points

3

This item should be rated a 3 if there were some minor instances of a safety violation or ethically inappropriate behavior, most of which were quickly and successfully corrected by the teacher. A few instances of safety violations or ethically inappropriate behavior may have been ignored or gone unseen by the teacher.

4 Points

4

This item should be rated a 4 if there were very few instances of ethical/safety neglect, because the teacher had clearly established classroom safety/ethical practices and consistently monitored the classroom, enforcing these policies.

5 Points

5

This item should be rated a 5 if there were no instances of safety violations or ethically inappropriate behaviors because the teacher had clearly established practices and consistently monitored the classroom, explicitly reminding students of why these policies are necessary and modeling safe/ethical practices (i.e., wearing safety goggles at all times in the lab setting).

4.1 Content Significance: The mathematics or science content chosen was significant, worthwhile, and developmentally appropriate for this course (includes the content standards covered, as well as examples and activities chosen by the teacher).

1 Points

This item should be rated a 1 if the content covered and/or tasks. examples, or activities chosen by the teacher were unrelated to the science or mathematics content of the course

2 Points

This item should be rated a 2 if the content covered and/or tasks examples, or activities chosen by the teacher were distantly or only sometimes related to the science or mathematics content of the course. This item should also be rated a 2 if the content chosen was developmentally inappropriate-either too low-level or too advanced for the students

3 Points

This item should be rated a 3 if the content covered was significant and relevant to the science or mathematics content of the course, but the presentation, tasks, examples, or activities chosen were prescriptive, superficial, or contrived and did not allow the students to make meaningful connections to mathematical or scientific ideas. This item should also be rated a 3 if the content covered was focused toward general standardized test preparation in mathematics or science for the grade level rather than the specific content objectives of

4 Points

This item should be rated a 4 if the content covered and/or tasks. examples, or activities chosen by the teacher were clearly related to the significant science or mathematics content of the course, and the tasks, examples, or activities that were used allowed for some student development of worthwhile connections to the mathematical or scientific ideas

5 Points

This item should be rated a 5 if the content covered and/or tasks. examples, or activities chosen by the teacher were clearly and explicitly related to significant science and mathematics concepts in ways that allowed students to gain a deeper understanding and make worthwhile connections to the mathematical or scientific ideas.

4.2 Content Fluency: Content communicated through direct and non-direct instruction by the teacher is consistent with deep knowledge and fluency with the mathematics or science concepts of the lesson (e.g., fluent use of examples, discussions, and explanations of concepts, etc.).

1 Points

This item should be rated a 1 if there was a significant issue with the teacher's understanding and/or communication of the content that negatively impacted student learning during the class period.

2 Points

This item should be rated a 2 if there were several smaller issues with the teacher's understanding and/or communication of the content that sometimes had a negative impact on student learning

3 Points

the course

This item should be rated a 3 if there were no issues with the teacher's understanding of the content and its accuracy, but the teacher was not always fluid or did not try to present the content in multiple ways. When students appeared confused, the teacher was unable to reteach the content in a completely clear, understandable, and/or transparent way such that most students understood.

4 Points

This item should be rated a 4 if the teacher clearly understood the content and how to successfully communicate the content to most students in the class. The teacher used multiple examples and strategies to engage students with the content. The teacher's depth of content knowledge enhanced student learning.

5 Points

This item should be rated a 5 if the teacher clearly understood the content and how to successfully communicate the content to all students in the class. The teacher was able to present interesting and relevant examples, explain concepts in multiple ways, facilitate discussions, connect the content to the big ideas of the discipline, use advanced questioning strategies to guide student learning, and identify and use common

7 of 9 8/20/2015 10:23 AM

Criteria	1	2	3	4	5
- The state of the					misconceptions or alternative ideas as learning tools. The teacher's depth of content knowledge greatly enhanced student learning.
4.3 Content Accuracy: Teacher written and verbal content information was accurate.	1 Points This item should be rated a 1 if there was a major instance of incorrect written or verbal content information communicated by the teacher that was not corrected, and this mistake had a large negative impact on student learning.	2 Points This item should be rated a 2 if there was a major instance of incorrect written or verbal content information that the teacher caught and corrected, or if there were a number of minor written or verbal content mistakes, inconsistencies, and/or ambiguities that negatively impacted learning.	3 Points This item should be rated a 3 if there were minor written or verbal content issues, and the teacher did not correct or catch all of them.	4 Points This item should be rated a 4 if there were only minor content mistakes or ambiguities that were corrected by the teacher.	5 Points This item should be rated a 5 if there we no examples of incorrect or ambiguous written overbal content information communicated by the teacher during the class period.
4.4 Content Assessments: Formal assessments used by teacher (if available) were consistent with content objectives (homework, lab sheets, tests, quizzes, etc.)."It's possible that this indicator was not applicable to the observed lesson. You may rate NA in this case.	1 Points This item should be rated a 1 if there was a formal assessment during the lesson, but this formal assessment was highly inappropriate and not matched with the content objectives.	2 Points This item should be rated a 2 if there was a formal assessment during the lesson, but the assessment was poorly designed or not entirely consistent with content objectives.	3 Points This item should be rated a 3 if there was a formal assessment during the lesson, and this formal assessment was generally appropriate and matched with content objectives.	4 Points This item should be rated a 4 if there was a formal assessment during the lesson, and this formal assessment was well designed to evaluate student understanding of important mathematical and scientific concepts that had been central components of instruction in the classroom.	This item should be rated a 5 if there wa a formal assessment during the lesson, and this formal assessment was we designed to evaluat student understanding of important mathematical and scientific concepts that had been central components of instruction in the classroom. The assessment was als designed to push students' thinking to the next level and provide opportunitie for challenge and additional learning.
4.5 Content Abstraction: Elements of mathematical/scientific abstraction were used appropriately (e.g., multiple forms of representation in science and mathematics classes include verbal, graphic, symbolic, visualizations, simulations, models of systems and structures that are not directly observable in real time or by the naked eye, etc.).*It's possible that this indicator was not applicable to the observed lesson. You may rate NA in this case.	1 Points This item should be rated a 1 if there was a major issue with the teacher's use of abstraction that had a negative impact on student learning during the class period.	2 Points This item should be rated a 2 if the teacher neglected important explanation and discussion of abstraction that was being used during the class period, and this missed opportunity had a negative impact on student learning.	3 Points This item should be rated a 3 if the teacher's use of abstraction was adequate—the teacher allowed for some discussion or explanation and did not use abstraction inappropriately.	A Points This item should be rated a 4 if abstraction was used during the class period for a relevant and useful purpose. The teacher explicitly engaged students in some discussion of the meaning of the representation and/or successfully connected different representational forms. Perhaps there was a small missed opportunity with respect to facilitating some students' understanding of abstraction.	5 Points This item should be rated a 5 if abstraction was bein used for a relevant and useful purpose, like modeling, supporting an argument for a scientific theory or mathematical proof, or progressively generalizing important ideas, AN if the teacher engaged students in a discussion of the meaning and purpor of the representation. The abstractions were presented in a way such that they were understandable and accessible to a students in the class.
4.6 Content Relevance: During the lesson, it was made	1 Points This item should be	2 Points This item should be	3 Points This item should be	4 Points This item should be	5 Points This item should be

Levels of Achievement Criteria 2 3 5 rated a 1 if there rated a 2 if the rated a 3 if the rated a 4 if the rated a 5 if the explicit to students importance of the why the content is were no instances of teacher made only a teacher made some teacher engaged it being made explicit brief reference to the moves to tie in the students in a content was a central important to learn. to students why the importance of the significance of the discussion of why theme that was content is important content, and there content during the the content was discussed and was no elaboration class period. expanded upon important to learn. to learn perhaps mentioning or discussion. This throughout the class item should also be it more than one period rated a 2 if the time. teacher did not explicitly discuss content significance, but the significance was clearly implicit or obvious in the work students were doing 4.7 Content 1 Points 2 Points 3 Points 4 Points 5 Points Interconnections: This item should be **Appropriate** rated a 1 if no rated a 2 if a minor rated a 3 if the rated a 4 if the rated a 5 if. connections were connections were teacher connected teacher included one throughout the class connection was made to other areas of period, the content made to other areas made to another the content being or more connections mathematics or learned to another between the content area of was taught in the science and/or to mathematics/science mathematics/science area of and other areas of context of its use in other disciplines. or other academic or other academic mathematics/science mathematics/science other academic disciplines, or if disciplines, but the o or other academic or other academic disciplines, other connections were teacher did not disciplines, and if the disciplines, or areas of teacher explicitly made that were explicitly discuss this problems that mathematics/science, inappropriate or connection with the brought this professionals or in the work of incorrect. connection to actually encounter, professionals, AND class students' attention. AND the teacher the teacher clearly engaged the demonstrated deep students in an knowledge about how the content is used in extended discussion or activity relating to those areas. these connections. 4.8 Content Societal 1 Points 2 Points 3 Points 4 Points 5 Points Impact: During the This item should be lesson, there was rated a 1 if there was rated a 2 if a rated a 3 if the rated a 4 if the rated a 5 if, discussion about the no discussion about connection was teacher explicitly teacher explicitly throughout the class content topic's role in the content topic's made to history, called attention to called attention to period, the students history, current how the content was role in history, current current events, or how the content was were doing activities events, or relevant relevant real-world specifically connected to history, and/or having events, or relevant "real-world" problems. problems that the real-world problems connected to history, current events, or discussions related during the class teacher did not current events, or relevant real-world to the content topic's period, or if there relevant real-world problems and role in history, current specifically mention was a discussion, problems but did not engaged the class in or call attention to events, or relevant fully expand upon real-world problems but it was (i.e., it was written on an extended inappropriate or discussion of this a worksheet), or if this idea with the and if the teacher incorrect. the teacher made a class that led to connection clearly demonstrated general and brief student learning. deep knowledge

comment about a

possible connection

to history or current

events that was not

expanded upon.

View Associated Items

rint Close Window

about how this topic

solution of real-world problems.

was connected to

history or current

events or in the